are situated in Doon Valley and Kumaon regions.

(d) At present, no tea is exported from Uttar Pradesh in view of low production of tea in the State.

[*English*]

Raids Conducted by Income Tax Department in Gujarat During 1990-91

5059. SHRI KASHIRAM RANA: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) the number of raids conducted by the income tax department in Gujarat during 1990-91;

(b) the number of cases in which unaccounted money and wealth amounting to over Rs. 50 lakhs was detected;

(c) the number of cases in respect of which investigations have since been completed;

(d) the particulars of persons/firms/companies on whom penalty has been levied; and

(e) the amount of penalty recovered so far from each of them?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI RAMESHWAR THAKUR): (a) 477

(b) 35

(c) to (e). Assessments are required to be completed within the limitation period prescribed under the stature. Penalty proceedings are taken up only after the finalisation of assessments. In the aforesaid cases, investigations have been taken up on the basis of materials found in the course of the searches.

Complaints Against Public Sector Units

5060. SHRI GURUDAS KAMAT: Will the Minister of LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether some complaints have been received by the Monopolies Restrictive Trade Practices Commission against the Public Sector Units;

(b) if so, the details thereof, unit-wise; and

(c) the action taken/proposed to be taken in the matter?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI RANGARAJAN KUMARAMANGALAM): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c) The MRTP Commission has instituted 9 enquiries against the public sector units, details of which are given in the Statement enclosed. The MRTP Commission being a quasi-judicial body is empowered to take necessary action under the MRTP Act.

STATEMENT

Enquiries by MRTP Commission

S. No.	Enquiry No.	Name of the Respondent	Allegation in brief	Present status
1	2	3	4	5
1.	MTPE 1.91	Mahanagar Telephons Nigam Ltd., Bombay (Resp. No. 2)	Restorted to increase in prices for bold and additional enteries in the telephone directory without any justification and thus indulged in monopolistic and trade practices within the meaning of Section 2 (1) of the Act.	Investigation Report awaited
2.	UTPE 140/91	Air India, Bombay	Conducted lottery for promoting the air travel services to the passengers thereby indulging in unfair trade practice within the meaning of Section 36A (3) (b) of the Act.	The enquiry is to come up before the Commission on 3.4.1992
3.	UTPE 157/91	Director (Commercial) Services), Doordarshan Kendra, Madras (Resp. No.2)	Permitted the telecast of an advertisement given by Jyoti Labs. which tended to disparage the products of its competitors, It is an unfair trade practice u/s 36 A of the Act.	The enquiry is to come up before the Commission on 1 st May, 1992.
4.	RTPE 9/92	Delhi Transport Corpn., Delhi	By converting some of the existing fleet of buses into Green Line Buses the Respondent Corporation has indulged in restrictive trade practice within the meaning of Section 2 (o) (ii) of the Act.	Enquiry has been instituted. To come up before the Commissin on 8.4.1992.

S. No.	Enquiry No.	Name of the Respondent	Allegation in brief	Present status
1	2	3	4	5
5.	RTPE 34/92	Chandigarh Housing Board, Chandigarh	The Board is alleged to have indulged in restrictive trade practice under Section 2 (o) of the Act.	Investigation Report awaited
6.	RTP 35/92	Ghaziabad Development Authority Ghaziabad	The authority is alleged to have indulged in restrictive trade practice u/s 2 (o) of the Act.	Enquiry closed on 24.2.1992
7.	RTP36/92	Ghaziabad Development Authority, Ghaziabad	The authority is alleged to have indulged in restrictive trade practice u/s 2 (o) of the Act	Enquiry instituted. To come up before the Commission on 30.4.1992
8.	RTPE 39/92	Haryana Urban Development Authority, Chandigarh.	The authority is alleged to have indulged in restrictive trade practice under Section (o) of the Act.	Investigation Report awaited.
9.	UTPE 59/92	Greater Noida Industrial Development Authority, Noida Ghaziabad.	Issued misleading advertisements and thus indulged in unfair trade practice under Section 36A of the Act.	Investigation Report awaited.